

CA ESD Interview Questions

2nd Plenary Meeting Interview Questions for Member States

Country: BE

Name and organisation:

Tineke	Schryvers	Federal Public Service of Economy
Valerie	Pevenage	Public Service of Wallonia
Virginie	Leclercq	Brussels Institute for Environmental Management
Ann	Collys	Flemish Energy Agency
Nadine	Dufait	Flemish Energy Agency

Role in ESD implementation: writing the NEEAPs, calculations and modelling, collecting data and monitoring, coordination

Q1. How long have you been with the Concerted Action?

Some from the beginning (of CA ESD I), for others it is the second time.

Q2. Has the CA ESD improved your working relationships with other participating countries?

Yes, you get to know people that are working on the same subject

How have you otherwise benefited from the network, exchange of information and experience within the CA-ESD?

If you have questions, you know whom to contact and you a much quicker response because people know you. The CA ESD provides the big picture. You learn a lot regarding the policy framework of other Member States; however the answer to the question "what can we use and how can we implement it?" needs more time. Other Member States have the same problems and questions, e.g. related to reporting and implementation; the answers to these questions can be very useful.

Q3. Can you give us any concrete examples (e.g. policy measure, methodology, organisation) of best or good practices that you have learned about through the CA ESD and have taken on board, in some way, whilst developing national policies?

Information provided in the CA ESD is often not detailed enough (especially regarding disadvantages) or does not look interesting; therefore no action was taken.

However, some "maybe" good ideas were:

- Green Deal of the UK
- White Certificate schemes

Q4. Has the CA supported your work in generating awareness for energy efficiency and the ESD with national policy makers and other stakeholders?

No.

Q5. What has been the most useful CA ESD product/output for you? (PMs, WG Reports, website, Forum, Newsletter, National Summary Reports, public documents, other)

WG reports and the website (where all information is available), some presentations and the information market (to look for interesting information).

Q6. Do you attend other Concerted Action meetings – CA RES or CA EPBD?

No.

Q7. What is your national dissemination strategy when it comes to CA ESD material and the outcomes of the Plenary Meetings?

To how many people, and to whom, do you send the final reports and other outputs of the CA ESD? What methods do you use (meetings, email, presentations, etc)? Are you aware of the NCP toolkit on the website?

There is no national dissemination strategy. What is interesting is reported to experts. BE is aware of the NCP toolkit (but has not used it).

Q8. What is your feedback regarding the new in-depth topic and sessions? How was your experience in trying to identify your expert(s) to attend these sessions?

First question is not applicable: at the time of the interview only one session had been held. It was difficult to find the right person that should attend the in-depth sessions; it should not be an expert but somebody from the federal department.

Q9. Do you have any other remarks, comments or feedback you would like to give us on specific or general elements of the CA ESD?

Some topics, especially the NEEAP as a policy tool, keep coming back. However, the content of the NEEAP is more interesting, so more detailed attention should be paid to this.