

CA ESD Interview Questions

2nd Plenary Meeting Interview Questions for Member States

Country: EE

Name: Annika Päsik, Urmas Raudsaar, Riina Aasa (expert on PP)

Organisation: Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communication

Role in ESD implementation: Coordination

There are only few people at the ministry dealing with energy efficiency.

Q1. How long have you been with the Concerted Action?

This is the third PM that Annika is attending; about one year with the Concerted Action.

Q2. Has the CA ESD improved your working relationships with other participating countries?

Yes, it is a great place for networking. You get in contact with the right people.

How have you otherwise benefited from the network, exchange of information and experience within the CA-ESD?

It is also possible to informally discuss other topics (on the EU level) with other countries.

Q3. Can you give us any concrete examples (e.g. policy measure, methodology, organisation) of best or good practices that you have learned about through the CA ESD and have taken on board, in some way, whilst developing national policies?

The ESCO topic was really interesting; we had little knowledge about that. The CA ESD provided us with good ideas but it takes some time to decide if and how we can take these on board.

The IEA presentation in Warsaw was interesting because Estonia is considering becoming IEA member.

Q4. Has the CA supported your work in generating awareness for energy efficiency and the ESD with national policy makers and other stakeholders?

Not applicable because all relevant policy makers attend the CA ESD.

Q5. What has been the most useful CA ESD product/output for you? (PMs, WG Reports, website, Forum, Newsletter, National Summary Reports, public documents, other)

WG reports and public documents (presentations that can be used outside the ministry).

Q6. Do you attend other Concerted Action meetings – CA RES or CA EPBD?

Yes, CA RES

If yes, what do you think the CA ESD can learn from the other Concerted Actions and vice versa?

Topics covered by both CAs should be coordinated.

Q7. What is your national dissemination strategy when it comes to CA ESD material and the outcomes of the Plenary Meetings?

**To how many people, and to whom, do you send the final reports and other outputs of the CA ESD?
What methods do you use (meetings, email, presentations, etc)?
Are you aware of the NCP toolkit on the website?**

There is no national dissemination strategy. Important messages can be easily and informally forwarded in the hierarchy.

Q8. What is your feedback regarding the new in-depth topic and sessions? How was your experience in trying to identify your expert(s) to attend these sessions?

Positive:

- It enabled us to compare with other countries.
- Received information to be used for LCC on cars.
- Met again with people from other Nordic countries that are working on PP.

No problem in identifying the expert to attend the sessions.

Q9. Do you have any other remarks, comments or feedback you would like to give us on specific or general elements of the CA ESD?

The working group that used the world café was really nice.