

CA ESD Interview Questions

2nd Plenary Meeting Interview Questions for Member States

Country: Czech Republic

Name: Petr Holoubek

Organisation: State Energy Inspectorate

Role in ESD implementation: Controlling and verification of implementation

Q1. How long have you been with the Concerted Action?

Czech Republic, represented by the State Energy Inspectorate and cooperating organization ENVIROS has been participating in projects CA ESD I and CA ESD II from the beginning.

Q2. Has the CA ESD improved your working relationships with other participating countries?

Yes/No

Yes

How have you otherwise benefited from the network, exchange of information and experience within the CA-ESD?

The biggest gains were in regular contact with representatives of other countries, discussing their and our own (good) experiences. In addition we received regular information about upcoming issues of energy efficiency in the bodies of the EC. We used the frequent notification to the basic documents for the topics discussed, we were provided with relevant information on how to implement energy efficiency in the Czech Republic. The presentation of news and good experience on the plenary meetings were real benefit for us.

Q3. Can you give us any concrete examples (e.g. policy measure, methodology, organisation) of best or good practices that you have learned about through the CA ESD and have taken on board, in some way, whilst developing national policies?

For example, with the Finnish representatives we discussed the implementation of energy audits scheme or the inclusion of energy efficiency in public procurement. The discussion on the form of the template for NEEAP influenced the content of our 2nd NEEAP.

Q4. Has the CA supported your work in generating awareness for energy efficiency and the ESD with national policy makers and other stakeholders?

Yes/No

1

Published date: 14th March 2012

Yes!

If yes, how?

Yes, for example, energy efficiency experts were reminded of the lifetime of energy-saving measures and their implementation in practice.

Q5. What has been the most useful CA ESD product/output for you? (PMs, WG Reports, website, Forum, Newsletter, National Summary Reports, public documents, other)

The presentation of news and good experience on the plenary meetings and WG meetings.

Q6. Do you attend other Concerted Action meetings – CA RES or CA EPBD?

Yes/No

No!

If yes, what do you think the CA ESD can learn from the other Concerted Actions and vice versa?

Q7. What is your national dissemination strategy when it comes to CA ESD material and the outcomes of the Plenary Meetings?

To how many people, and to whom, do you send the final reports and other outputs of the CA ESD?

What methods do you use (meetings, email, presentations, etc)?

Are you aware of the NCP toolkit on the website?

Results and information from the CA ESD meetings are used by the Ministry of Industry and Trade, State Energy Inspectorate and for the creation of national reform programs. Specific materials we do not send, but our colleagues are made familiar with the information.

Q8. What is your feedback regarding the new in-depth topic and sessions? How was your experience in trying to identify your expert(s) to attend these sessions?

We view the new in-depth topics as a positive development towards increasing the interaction and exchange of specific experiences between countries. For the Czech project team it was certainly beneficial. So far we did not manage to attract other experts to the CA ESD II meetings.

Q9. Do you have any other remarks, comments or feedback you would like to give us on specific or general elements of the CA ESD?

-