

CA ESD Interview Questions

3rd Plenary Meeting Interview Questions for Member States

Country: Romania

Name: Cristiana Calugar

Organisation: ANRE

Role in ESD implementation:

The Governmental Ordinance no. 22/2008 on energy efficiency and promotion of the renewable energy to the final consumers is the Romanian legislation transposing the ESD. ARCE - Romanian Agency for Energy Conservation, that in present is the Energy Efficiency Department in ANRE - Romanian Energy Regulatory Authority (in November 2009 the Romanian Agency for Energy Conservation - ARCE was abolished by Law 329/2009 and its activities were taken by the Romanian Energy Regulatory Authority based on GD 1428/18.12.2009) is involved in developing energy efficiency policy at national level and is responsible for implementing and monitoring the GO no.22/2008.

Q1.How long have you been with the Concerted Action?

Since 2008

Q2.Has the CA ESD improved your working relationships with other participating countries?

Yes/No

Yes

How have you otherwise benefited from the network, exchange of information and experience within the CA-ESD?

We had the possibility to broaden our horizon related to the topics approached by CAESD

Q3.Can you give us any concrete examples (e.g. policy measure, methodology, organisation) of best or good practices that you have learned about through the CA ESD and have taken on board, in some way, whilst developing national policies?

I could find a concrete example but I believe that is more important to say that each PM has brought, by the WG reports and best practices in the EE field an added value to ANRE expert experience.

Q4.Has the CA supported your work in generating awareness for energy efficiency and the ESD with national policy makers and other stakeholders?

Yes/No

Yes

If yes, how?

CA supported our work by the participation of Romanian experts within the CAESD PM meetings, within the WG team and by being able to access the Forum discussions and website materials.

Q5.What has been the most useful CA ESD product/output for you? (PMs, WG Reports, website, Forum, Newsletter, National Summary Reports, public documents, other)²

The most useful CAESD outputs are WG reports, best practice examples presented during the PM sessions as well as the Forum discussions.

Q6. Do you attend other Concerted Action meetings –CA RES or CA EPBD?

Yes/No

No

If yes, what do you think the CA ESD can learn from the other Concerted Actions and vice versa?

Q7. What is your national dissemination strategy when it comes to CA ESD material and the outcomes of the Plenary Meetings?

The material and outcomes of PM are sent to the experts involved in the Romanian Energy Efficiency policy including ANRE staffs, MECMA (Ministry of Economy) and others public institutions like: OEN, ANRMAP, UCCPPP and ESCOs also other interested parties on ESD.

To how many people, and to whom, do you send the final reports and other outputs of the CA ESD?

What methods do you use (meetings, email, presentations, etc)?

Are you aware of the NCP toolkit on the website?

Q8. What is your feedback regarding the new in-depth topic and sessions? How was your experience in trying to identify your expert(s) to attend these sessions?

Event though the idea of an in-depth session is more than welcomed. Unfortunately sometimes finding the right person to participate is quite a challenge. By the diversifying the in-depth session topics sometimes we need to bring national expert from outside ANRE and not every time we can be certain that they will be able to attend. The problem is not to identifying them but their participation within PM could be a problem.

Q9. Do you have any other remarks, comments or feedback you would like to give us on specific or general elements of the CA ESD?

The topics of PM are interesting for larger number of people than one allowed by the project to cover the costs of participation (3 people). May be in the future the project could assure the participation costs for more than 3 people giving them the chance to give and receive their experience that can benefit the project

Q10. What suggestion do you have for the CA EED?

Increasing the number of participants at the PM improves the access to exchange of information and gives the possibility to have a participant in all topics of the CT 1-8.

May be the best practices examples that will be presented in the PM's sessions could be sent in advance of the meeting in order for us to have the possibility identifying and clarifying any questions.